



# राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

## हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

शुक्रवार, 30 नवम्बर, 2018/09 मार्गशीर्ष, 1940

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-171001, the 12th July, 2018*

**No.11-6/85(Lab)ID/2018/Shimla/Rajveer.**—Whereas the Labour Inspector-cum-Conciliation Officer, Shimla, Distt. Shimla has submitted a report as provided u/s 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri

Rajveer s/o Shri Chander Pal, r/o Vill. Chalog P.O. Majhiwar, Tehsil Sunni, Distt. Shimla, H.P. (i) The Employer/Manager, M/s A.B. Tools Company Shimla & (ii) The Employer/Manager, Hotel Marigold Sarovar Partico, Village Sadhora, Mashobra Hills-Baldehra Road, P.O. Baldian, Distt. Shimla, 171 007.

Whereas, the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram(A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15-2-2014 and as per power vested under sub-section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on the following issue/issues:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Rajveer s/o Shri Chander Pal, r/o Vill. Chalog P.O. Majhiwar Tehsil Sunni, Distt. Shimla, H.P. after conducting domestic enquiry by the Employer/Manager, Hotel Marigold Sarovar Partico, Village Sadhora, Mashobra Hills-Naldehra Road, P.O. Baldian, Distt. Shimla, 171 007 *w.e.f.* 25-08-2017 without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, reinstatement, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,  
Sd/-

*Joint Labour Commissioner.*

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-171 001, the 31st July, 2018*

**No. 11-2/93(Lab)ID/2018/Nalagarh/Reena.**—Whereas the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Baddi Circle, Distt. Solan has submitted a report as provided u/s 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Ms. Reena Kumari d/o Sh. Bishan Dutt, Ward No. 8, Nalagarh, Tehsil Nalagarh, Distt. Solan, H.P. and The Managing Director, M/s Lavista Ideal Pharma, Vill. Bhagvania, Near Tata Motors Nalagarh, Tehsil Nalagarh, Distt. Solan, H.P.

Whereas, the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and

amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers of appropriate Government, vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram(A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15-2-2014 and as per power vested under sub-section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on the following issue/issues:—

“Whether Ms. Reena Kumari d/o Sh. Bishan Dutt, Ward No. 8, Nalagarh, Tehsil Nalagarh, Distt. Solan, H.P. who was appointed as H.R. Manager ( On provisional basis) is covered under the definition of “workman” as provided under Industrial Disputes Act, 1947? If not, its effects? and If yes, whether her termination of the services *w.e.f.* 25-01-2018 by the Managing Director, M/s Lavista Ideal Pharma, Vill. Bhagvania, Near Tata Motors Nalagarh, Tehsil Nalagarh, Distt. Solan, H.P. without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, reinstatement, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management ?”

By order,  
Sd/-

*Joint Labour Commissioner.*

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-171001, the 28th July, 2018*

**No.11-6/85(Lab)ID/2018/Shimla/Surender.**—Whereas the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Shimla, Distt. Shimla, H.P. has submitted a report as provided u/s 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Sh. Surender Kumar s/o Sh. Satish Kumar, r/o Vill. Dhtali, P.O. Khundla, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, H.P. and The Managing Director, Himachal Tourism Development Corporation, Near Ritz, Shimla-1.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram(A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15-2-2014 and as per power vested under sub-section 1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on the following issue/issues:—

“Whether termination of the services of Sh. Surender Kumar s/o Sh. Satish Kumar, r/o Vill. Dhtali, P.O. Khundla, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, H.P. who had worked as Washer Boy, by the Managing Director, Himachal Tourism Development Corporation, Near Ritz, Shimla-1 during the April 2013 without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, what relief including reinstatement, amount of back wages, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

By order,  
Sd/-

*Joint Labour Commissioner.*

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-171001, the 23rd June, 2018*

**No.11-2/93(Lab)ID/2018/Nalagarh/Amrik.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Sh. Amrik Singh s/o Sh. Jeet Singh, Village Lakhanpur, P.O. Manjholi, Tehsil Nalagarh, Distt. Solan, H.P. & other 13 workers and The Managing Director, M/s Japshi Chem Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd., Vill. Saini Majra, P.O. Manjholi, Tehsil Nalagarh, Distt. Solan, H.P.

Whereas, the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid* carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that there exist an industrial dispute between the above parties which requires legal adjudication.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram(A) 4-9/2006-IV-

Loose, dated 15-2-2014 and as per power vested under sub-section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Ld. Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on the following issue/issues:—

“Whether demand No. 1, 2 & 3 raised by Sh. Amrik Singh s/o Sh. Jeet Singh, Village Lakhanpur, P.O. Manjholi, Tehsil Nalagarh, Distt. Solan, H.P. & other 13 workers *vide* demand notice dated 05-11-2016 (**Copy-Enclosed**) before the Managing Director, M/s Japshi Chem Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd., Vill. Saini Majra, P.O. Manjholi, Tehsil Nalagarh, Distt. Solan, H.P. for fulfilling, are legal and justified? If yes, what relief in terms of above demand notice the aggrieved workmen are entitled to from the above management?”

By order,  
Sd/-

*Joint Labour Commissioner.*

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-171001, the 12th June, 2018*

**No. 11-6/85(Lab)ID/2018/ Shimla/Bhag Singh.**—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Shri Bhag Singh s/o Sh. Chet Ram Pal, r/o Sunny Niwas, Dev Nagar, Shimla and the Principal, Convent of Jesus and Merry, Navbahar, Shimla-2, H.P.

Whereas, the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid* carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that there exist an industrial dispute between the above parties which requires legal adjudication.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram(A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15-2-2014 and as per power vested under sub-section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Ld. Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on the following issue/issues:—

“Whether termination of the services Shri Bhag Singh s/o Sh. Chet Ram Pal, r/o Sunny Niwas, Dev Nagar, Shimla by the Principal, Convent of Jesus and Merry, Navbahar, Shimla-2, (H.P.) *w.e.f.* 31-12-2016, without complying with the provisions of the Industrial

Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, what relief including reinstatement, seniority, back wages and compensation the aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

By order,  
Sd/-  
Joint Labour Commissioner.

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-171001, the 7th June, 2018*

**No. 11-1/86(Lab)ID/2018/Nahan/Debabrata.**—Whereas the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer Distt. Sirmaur at Nahan, Distt. Sirmaur, H.P. has submitted a report as provided u/s 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Sh. Debabrata Nayak s/o Sh. Kulamani, VPO Jampada, Tehsil Bari, Distt. Jaipur, Odisha-755 005 with the Manager, M/s Shivam Cotspin Ltd., Trilokpur Road Kheri, Kala Amb, Tehsil Nahan, Distt. Sirmaur, H.P.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram(A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15-2-2014 and as per power vested under sub-section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on the following issue/issues:—

“ Whether Sh. Debabrata Nayak s/o Sh. Kulamani, VPO Jampada, Tehsil Bari, Distt. Jaipur, Odisha-755 005, who was working as Manager Accounts, falls within the definition of “workman” as provided under Section 2(s) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947? If yes, whether termination of the services of Sh. Debabrata Nayak s/o Sh. Kulamani, VPO Jampada, Tehsil Bari, Distt. Jaipur, Odisha-755 005 *w.e.f.* 24-10-2017 by the Manager, M/s Shivam Cotspin Ltd. Trilokpur Road Kheri, Kala Amb, Tehsil Nahan, Distt. Sirmaur, (H.P.), without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal

and justified? If not, what relief including reinstatement, seniority, back wages and compensation the aggrieved workman is entitled to from the above employer?"

By order,  
Sd/-  
Joint Labour Commissioner.

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-171001, the 27th June, 2018*

**No. 11-6/85(Lab) ID/2018/Shimla/ Dharam Singh.**—Whereas the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Shimla, Distt. Shimla has submitted a report as provided u/s 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri Dharam Singh s/o Late Shri Bhagat Ram, r/o Village Behal P.O. Ghaini, Tehsil Sunni, Distt. Shimla H.P. and (i) The Employer/Manager, M/s A.B. Tools Company Shimla & (ii) The Employer/Manager, Hotel Marigold Sarovar Partico, Village Sadhora, Mashobra Hills-Naldehra Road, P.O. Baldian, Distt. Shimla, 171 007.

Whereas, the Labour Inspector-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram(A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15-2-2014 and as per power vested under sub-section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on the following issue/issues:—

“Whether termination of the services of Shri Dharam Singh s/o Late Shri Bhagat Ram, r/o Village Behal P.O. Ghaini, Tehsil Sunni, Distt. Shimla, H.P. after conducting domestic enquiry by the Employer/ Manager, M/s Hotel Marigold Sarovar Partico, Village Sadhora, Mashobra Hills-Naldehra Road, P.O. Baldian, Distt. Shimla 171 007 *w.e.f.* 25-08-2017 without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workmen, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, reinstatement,

seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

By order,  
Sd/-  
Joint Labour Commissioner.

## LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-171001, the 22nd July, 2018*

**No. 11-2/93(Lab) ID/2018/Solan/Dinesh.**—Whereas the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, Solan, Distt. Solan, H.P. has submitted a report as provided u/s 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Sh. Dinesh Kumar s/o Sh. Het Ram, r/o Village Sainj, P.O. Sajaila, Tehsil Arki, Distt. Solan, H.P. with The Registrar, Maharishi Markendeshwar Medical College and Hospital, Village Lado, P.O. Sultanpur, Tehsil & Distt. Solan, H.P.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-*cum*-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub-section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh *vide* Notification No. Shram(A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, dated 15-2-2014 and as per power vested under sub-section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla, constituted under Section 7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on the following issue/issues:—

“Whether termination of the services of Sh. Dinesh Kumar s/o Sh. Het Ram, r/o Village Sainj, P.O. Sajaila, Tehsil Arki, Distt. Solan, H.P. by the Registrar, Maharishi Markendeshwar Medical College and Hospital, Village Lado, P.O. Sultanpur, Tehsil & Distt. Solan (H.P.) *w.e.f.* 08-4-2017, without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, reinstatement, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,  
Sd/-  
Joint Labour Commissioner.



**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA-171 001****NOTIFICATION***Shimla, the 24th November, 2018*

**No. HHC/Admn.6 (20)/77-XX.**—It is notified for information of the general public that the holidays and vacations to be observed by the Subordinate Courts in Himachal Pradesh during the calendar year 2019 shall be as follows:—

**HOLIDAYS FOR THE SUBORDINATE COURTS***GAZETTED HOLIDAYS*

<b>Name of holiday(s)</b>	<b>Date(s) on which these Fall</b>	<b>Day or Days of the week</b>
All Sundays		
All Second Saturdays		
Statehood Day	25th January	Friday
Republic Day	26th January	Saturday
Maha Shivratri	4th March	Monday
Holi	21st March	Thursday
Himachal Day	15th April	Monday
Good Friday	19th April	Friday
Budha Purnima	18th May	Saturday
Idul Fitr	5th June	Wednesday
Idul Zuha	12th August	Monday
Independence Day/Raksha Bandhan	15th August	Thursday
Janmashtami	24th August	Saturday
Muharram	10th September	Tuesday
Mahatma Gandhi's Birthday	2nd October	Wednesday
Dussehra Holidays	7th October to 11th October	Monday to Friday
Deepawali Holidays	28th October & 29 <sup>th</sup> October	Monday & Tuesday
Guru Nanak Dev's Birthday	12th November	Tuesday
Christmas	25th December	Wednesday

**NOTE.**—1. The above list does not include the holidays on account of Ram Navami (13th April) and Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's Birthday (14th April) being Second Saturday and Sunday.

2. The District and Sessions Judges at their discretion shall declare two holidays in the calendar year on the occasion of important fairs and festivals peculiar to the places, within their respective jurisdiction.

**VACATIONS:**

- (i) Vacation in the winter zone in Subordinate Courts will be observed from 21-01-2019 to 16-02-2019.
- (ii) Vacation in the summer zone in Subordinate Courts will be observed from 17-06-2019 to 12-07-2019.

**Note.**—Limitation will not run during the vacations and Gazetted holidays

BY ORDER OF THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT,  
Sd/-  
*Registrar General.*

**ब अदालत श्री अपूर्व शर्मा, सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी एवं नायब तहसीलदार, कांगड़ा,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)**

मिसल नं0  
23/2018

तारीख दायरा  
29-09-2018

तारीख पेशी  
10-12-2018

श्री मोती राम पुत्र श्री हरिराम, पुत्र रांझा, महाल बाडछ, मौजा दौलतपुर, तहसील व जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के अन्तर्गत नाम दुरुस्ती करवाने बारे।

प्रार्थी श्री मोती राम पुत्र श्री हरिराम, पुत्र रांझा, महाल बाडछ, मौजा दौलतपुर, तहसील व जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0 द्वारा इस कार्यालय में नाम दुरुस्ती हेतु प्रार्थना-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया गया। प्रार्थी द्वारा आग्रह किया गया कि उसका नाम कागजात महाल भाटी, तक्कीपुर व बाडछ, तहसील व जिला कांगड़ा में मोती लाल पुत्र हरिराम पुत्र रांझा दर्ज है, जो कि गलत है, जबकि अन्य कागजात में प्रार्थी का नाम मोती राम पुत्र हरि राम पुत्र रांझा दर्ज है जो सही है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि राजस्व रिकार्ड में प्रार्थी का नाम मोती राम पुत्र हरिराम पुत्र रांझा, महाल भाटी, तक्कीपुर व बाडछ में दर्ज करके दुरुस्ती की जानी है। यदि इस दुरुस्ती बारे किसी को कोई एतराज हो तो दिनांक 10-12-2018 को असालतन या वकालतन प्रातः 11.00 बजे इस अदालत में हाजिर होकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है। निर्धारित अवधि तक कोई आपत्ति/एतराज प्राप्त न होने पर प्रार्थना-पत्र पर नियमानुसार कार्यवाही की जाएगी।

दिनांक 27-10-2018 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।  
मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—  
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)।

-----  
**ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, तहसील खुण्डियां,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)**

केस नं0 : 3/NT/2018

तारीख पेशी : 13-12-2018

श्री रवि दास पुत्र श्री केसर राम, निवासी गांव चीहड़, डाकघर गलोटी, तहसील खुण्डियां, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

आम जनता

उनवान मुकद्दमा.—जेरे धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के तहत जन्म पंजीकरण।

इशतहार बनाम आम जनता।

प्रार्थी श्री रवि दास पुत्र श्री केसर राम, निवासी गांव चीहड़, डाकघर गलोटी, तहसील खुण्डियां, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने स्वयं उपस्थित होकर प्रार्थना-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया है कि उसकी पुत्री नेहा का जन्म दिनांक 27-07-2015 को हुआ है, का पंजीकरण कानून की जानकारी न होने के कारण ग्राम पंचायत पीहड़ी के अभिलेख में दर्ज न हो सका है। अतः जन्म तिथि व नाम का पंजीकरण ग्राम पंचायत पीहड़ी के अभिलेख में दर्ज किया जाये।

अतः सर्वसाधारण एवं रिश्तेदारों को सुनवाई हेतु बजरिया इशतहार राजपत्र द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में किसी प्रकार का उजर एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 13-12-2018 को असालतन व वकालतन पेश होकर अपना एतराज दर्ज करवा सकता है। उसके उपरान्त कोई भी उजर एतराज जेर समायत न होगा तथा श्री रवि दास पुत्र श्री केसर राम की पुत्री नेहा का नाम व जन्म तिथि 27-07-2015 का पंजीकरण जेरे धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के तहत ग्राम पंचायत पीहड़ी के अभिलेख में दर्ज करने के आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 12-11-2018 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—  
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
तहसील खुण्डियां, जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी एवं नायब तहसीलदार, धीरा, उप-तहसील धीरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)

केस नं0 : 19/2018

तारीख दायरा : 14-09-2018

तारीख पेशी : 15-12-2018

श्री कर्ण देव चन्द पुत्र हरी सिंह, निवासी महाल बेहल, मौजा रझूं, उप-तहसील धीरा, जिला कांगड़ा,  
हि0 प्र0 प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रत्यार्थी

विषय.—दरखास्त बराए नाम दुरुस्ती करने बारे।

श्री कर्ण देव चन्द पुत्र हरी सिंह, निवासी महाल बेहल, मौजा रझूं, उप-तहसील धीरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0 ने इस अदालत में प्रार्थना-पत्र मय शपथ-पत्र इस आशय से पेश किया है कि उसका नाम कर्ण देव उपनाम कर्म देव चन्द है जबकि महाल बेहल, मौजा रझूं के खाता नं0 9, खसरा कित्ता 8, कुल रकबा तादादी 00-30-39 है0, उप-तहसील धीरा के राजस्व अभिलेख में कर्ण देव दर्शाया गया है जोकि गलत है। अतः महाल बेहल, मौजा रझूं, उप-तहसील धीरा के राजस्व अभिलेख में उसका नाम दुरुस्त किया जाये।

अतः इस राजपत्र इशतहार द्वारा सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उक्त नाम की दुरुस्ती बारे कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 15-12-2018 को प्रातः 10.30 बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत आकर अपना उजर या एतराज पेश कर सकता है। इसके उपरान्त कोई भी उजर या एतराज जेरे समायत न होगा तथा नियमानुसार उक्त नाम की दुरुस्ती के आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 15-12-2018 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—  
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
उप-तहसील धीरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

**ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी एवं नायब तहसीलदार, धीरा, उप-तहसील धीरा,  
जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)**

केस नं0 : 20/2018

तारीख दायरा : 26-09-2018

तारीख पेशी : 15-12-2018

श्री राजिन्दर कुमार पुत्र मस्तो, निवासी महाल भंगाली, मौजा रझूं, उप-तहसील धीरा, जिला कांगड़ा  
हि0 प्र0 प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रत्यार्थी

विषय.—दरखास्त बराए नाम दुरुस्ती करने बारे।

श्री राजिन्दर कुमार पुत्र मस्तो, निवासी महाल भंगाली, मौजा रझूं, उप-तहसील धीरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0 ने इस अदालत में प्रार्थना-पत्र मय शपथ-पत्र इस आशय से पेश किया है कि उसका नाम राजिन्दर कुमार है जबकि महाल भंगाली, मौजा रझूं के खाता नं0 61, खसरा कित्ता 3, कुल रकबा तादादी 00-34-13 है0, उप-तहसील धीरा के राजस्व अभिलेख में राजिन्दर सिंह दर्शाया गया है जोकि गलत है। अतः महाल भंगाली, मौजा रझूं, उप-तहसील धीरा के राजस्व अभिलेख में उसका नाम दुरुस्त किया जाये।

अतः इस राजपत्र इश्तहार द्वारा सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उक्त नाम की दुरुस्ती बारे कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 15-12-2018 को प्रातः 10.30 बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत आकर अपना उजर या एतराज पेश कर सकता है। इसके उपरान्त कोई भी उजर या एतराज जेरे समायत न होगा तथा नियमानुसार उक्त नाम की दुरुस्ती के आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 12-11-2018 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—  
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
उप-तहसील धीरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

**ब अदालत तहसीलदार व अख्यारात सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील  
धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0**

श्री Sherap Gaytso

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना-पत्र जेरे धारा 13(3) हिमाचल प्रदेश पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता।

श्री Sherap Gaytso पुत्र स्व0 श्री Lotsel, निवासी Kirti Monastery, P.O. Mcleodganj, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा ने इस अदालत में शपथ-पत्र सहित मुकद्दमा दायर किया है कि उसकी स्वयं (Sherap Gaytso) की जन्म दिनांक 14-05-1984 है परन्तु एम0सी0 Dharamshala में जन्म पंजीकृत न है। अतः इसे पंजीकृत किये जाने के आदेश दिये जायें। इस नोटिस के द्वारा समस्त जनता को तथा सम्बन्धित सम्बन्धियों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त Sherap Gaytso का जन्म

पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह अपना एतराज हमारी अदालत में दिनांक 17-12-2018 को असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा मुताबिक शपथ-पत्र जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 12-11-2018 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—  
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
धर्मशाला।

ब अदालत श्री करतार सिंह, नायब तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, बैजनाथ,  
जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0

मुकद्दमा संख्या : 25/NT/2017

दिनांक पेशी : 27-12-2018

Rajinder Kumar

बनाम

Sudarshan

निवासीयान महाल Kalyana, मौजा Sagoor, तहसील बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

Rajinder Kumar ने अदालत हजा में बराये (तकसीम) भूमि विभाजन हेतु प्रार्थना-पत्र गुजारा है। प्रार्थी खाता नं0 2, खतौनी नं0 2, खसरा नम्बरान 56, रकबा तादादी 0-63-22 है0, मुहाल Kalyana, मौजा Sagoor, तहसील बैजनाथ में भू-मालिक है। प्रार्थी इस रकबा की तकसीम करवाना चाहता है लेकिन कुछ हिस्सादारान को साधारण तरीके से इत्तलाह न हो पा रही है। इसलिए प्रतिवादी तिलक चन्द पुत्र प्राकम चन्द, निवासी मझौरना, तहसील बैजनाथ को बजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि वह असालतन या वकालतन पेशी तिथि 27-12-2018 (मामला तकसीम) में उपस्थित होकर मुकद्दमा की पैरवी करे व उजर/एतराज पेश करे अन्यथा उनके विरुद्ध एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 30-10-2018 को अदालत की मोहर व मेरे हस्ताक्षर के साथ जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

करतार सिंह,  
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत श्री करतार सिंह, नायब तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, बैजनाथ,  
जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0

मुकद्दमा संख्या : 51/NT/2015

दिनांक पेशी : 13-12-2018

उदय सिंह

बनाम

नीता देवी

निवासीयान महाल चौबीन, मौजा बैजनाथ, तहसील बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

उदय सिंह ने अदालत हजा में बराये (तकसीम) भूमि विभाजन हेतु प्रार्थना-पत्र गुजारा है। प्रार्थी खाता नं0 74, खतौनी नं0 79, खसरा नम्बरान 1373, 2298, रकबा तादादी 0-24-61 है0, मुहाल चौबीन, मौजा

बैजनाथ, तहसील बैजनाथ में भू-मालिक है। प्रार्थी इस रकबा की तकसीम करवाना चाहता है लेकिन कुछ हिस्सादारान को साधारण तरीके से इत्तलाह न हो पा रही है। इसलिए प्रतिवादीगण नीता देवी, अन्जु देवी, संतोश कुमारी, रंजना देवी पुत्रियां व शकुन्तला देवी पत्नी स्व० श्री श्रीधर, निवासी चौबीन, मौजा व तहसील बैजनाथ को बजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि वे असालतन या वकालतन पेशी तिथि 13-12-2018 (मामला तकसीम) में उपस्थित होकर मुकद्दमा की पैरवी करें व उजर/एतराज पेश करें अन्यथा उनके विरुद्ध एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 25-10-2018 को अदालत की मोहर व मेरे हस्ताक्षर के साथ जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

करतार सिंह,  
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा, हि० प्र०।

-----

**In the Court of Mr. Raman Gharsanghi (H.P.A.S.) Special Marriage Officer-cum-Sub  
Divisional Magistrate, Manali, District Kullu, H.P.**

In the matter of :

Mr. Vijay Singh Chandel, aged 31 years s/o Shri Rajjan Singh Chandel, resident of 308 Old Shivali Road Gayatri Puram, Gali No. 3, Kalyanpur U.P. at present resident of Himalayan Nomad Cottages Village Simsa, P.O. Chhiyal, Tehsil Manali, Distt. Kullu, H. P. 175131 & Mrs. Humera Masnoon, aged 23 years d/o Shri Hayder Ali resident of Village Simla, Dakshin Simla, 24 Parganas, Mathur, West Bangal-743368, at present w/o Sh. Vijay Singh Chandel, son of Sh. Rajjan Singh Chandel, resident of 308 Old Shivali Road Gayatri Puram, Gali No. 3, Kalyanpur Kanpur, U.P., at present resident of Himalayan Nomad Cottages, Village Simsa, P.O. Chhiyal, Tehsil Manali, Distt. Kullu, H.P., do hereby declare as follows:—

*Versus*

General Public

An application for registration of marriage under Special Marriage Act, 1954, Mr. Vijay Singh Chandel, aged 31 years s/o Shri Rajjan Singh Chandel, resident of 308 Old Shivali Road Gayatri Puram, Gali No. 3, Kalyanpur Kanpur U.P. at present resident of Himalayan Nomad Cottages Village Simsa, P.O. Chhiyal, Tehsil Manali, Distt. Kullu, H. P. 175131 & Mrs. Humera Masnoon, aged 23 years d/o Shri Hayder Ali resident of Village Simla, Dakshin Simla, 24 Parganas, Mathur, West Bangal-743368, at present w/o Sh. Vijay Singh Chandel, son of Sh. Rajjan Singh Chandel, resident of 308 Old Shivali Road Gayatri Puram, Gali No. 3, Kalyanpur Kanpur, U.P., at present resident of Himalayan Nomad Cottages, Village Simsa, P.O. Chhiyal, Tehsil Manali, Distt. Kullu, H.P., have presented an application on 06-11-2018 in this Court for registration of marriage under Special Marriage Act, 1954. Hence this proclamation is hereby issued for the information of general public that if any persons have any objection for the registration of marriage can appear in this Court on 10-12-2018 at 2.00 P.M. to object registration of above marriage personally or through an authorised agent failing which this marriage will be registered under this Act, 1954 accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal of the court 6<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2018.

Seal.

Sd/-  
*Special Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate,  
Manali, District Kullu ( H.P.)*

**In the Court of Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Marriage Officer, Manali, District Kullu, H.P.**

In the matter of :

Sh. Manoj Bodh, aged 33 years s/o Shri Kamal Singh Bodh, resident of 149 PE, VPO Jagatsukh, Tehsil Manali, Distt. Kullu, H. P. & Arti Thakur, aged 29 years d/o Shri Amar Singh resident of Ward No. 6, VPO Mohal, Tehsil Manali, Distt. Kullu, H.P.

*Versus*

General Public

*An application for registration of marriage under Special Marriage Act, 1954.*

Whereas Sh. Manoj Bodh, aged 33 years s/o Shri Kamal Singh Bodh, resident of 149 PE, VPO Jagatsukh, Tehsil Manali, Distt. Kullu, H. P. & Arti Thakur, aged 29 years d/o Shri Amar Singh resident of Ward No. 6, VPO Mohal, Tehsil Manali, Distt. Kullu, H.P. have presented an application on 29-10-2018 in this Court for registration of marriage under Special Marriage Act, 1954. Hence this proclamation is hereby issued for the information of general public that if any persons have any objection for the registration of marriage can appear in this Court on 13-12-2018 to object registration of above marriage personally or through an authorised agent failing which this marriage will be registered under this Act, 1954 accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal of the court 13<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2018.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Special Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate,  
Manali, District Kullu ( H.P.).*

---

**In the of Court Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Marriage Officer, Manali, District Kullu, H.P.**

In the matter of :

Sh. Sanjay Kumar, aged 28 years s/o Shri Sham Singh, resident of Ward No. 7, Village Simsa, P.O. Chhiyal, Tehsil Manali, Distt. Kullu, H. P. & Dipanshi, d/o Shri Devender Kumar resident of Ward No. 3, Jawani, P.O. Neoli, Distt. Kullu, H.P.

*Versus*

General Public

*An application for registration of marriage under Special Marriage Act, 1954.*

Whereas Sh. Sanjay Kumar, s/o Shri Sham Singh, resident of Ward No. 7, Village Simsa, P.O. Chhiyal, Tehsil Manali, Distt. Kullu, H. P. & Dipanshi, d/o Shri Devender Kumar resident of Ward No. 3, Jawani, P.O. Neoli, Distt. Kullu, H.P. have presented an application on 12-11-2018 in this Court for registration of marriage under Special Marriage Act, 1954. Hence this proclamation is hereby issued for the information of general public that if any persons have any objection for the registration of marriage can appear in this Court on 13-12-2018 to object registration of above

marriage personally or through an authorised agent failing which this marriage will be registered under this Act, 1954 accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal of the court 13<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2018.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Special Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate,  
Manali, District Kullu ( H.P.).*

नाम परिवर्तन

मैं, Sheela Kumari (33) पुत्री प्रेम सिंह, पत्नी पवनजीत कश्यप, गांव व डाकघर कनैड, तहसील सुन्दरनगर, जिला मण्डी, हि० प्र० अपना नाम बदलकर Shalvi Sharma रख रही हूं। भविष्य में मुझे इसी नाम से जाना जाए।

Sheela Kumari ,  
पुत्री श्री प्रेम सिंह, पत्नी पवनजीत कश्यप,  
गांव व डाकघर कनैड, तहसील सुन्दरनगर,  
जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश।